

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,460	01/06/2004	Wolfgang Ludwig	22774	3462
20277	7590 03/02/2006		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP			SIMONE, TIMOTHY F	
600 13TH STI WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1761	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/752,460	LUDWIG, WOLFG	ANG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy F. Simone	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matt	•	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to did on the drawing (s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing (ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CF	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO)-152)

DETAILED ACTION

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Drawings

Applicant should ensure that (1) all reference characters in the drawings are described in the detailed description portion of the specification and (2) all reference characters mentioned in the specification are included in the appropriate drawing Figure(s) as required by 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Horn, et al. (US 6,105,490). Note, paddle massager (42) affixed to shaft (38). Thus, the features of the instantly rejected claims are structurally met by the reference.

Art Unit: 1761

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by either one of Langen, et al. (US 4,432,650) or Peacock (US 5,603,567Z). The features of the instantly rejected claims are structurally met by either one of the references.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by either one of Ludwig (US 5,405,630) or Leeds (US 6,279,328). Note, cooling jacket (20) of Ludwig and jacket (34) of Leeds. Thus, the features of the instantly rejected claims are structurally met by either one of the references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either one of Horn, et al. (US 6,105,490), Langen, et al. (US 4,432,650), Peacock (US 5,603,567Z), Ludwig (US 5,405,630) or Leeds (US 6,279,328). The prior art references disclose the claimed invention except for regulating the temperature in the vessel to ± 2 degrees F. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have regulated the temperature in the vessel of the prior art

Art Unit: 1761

to within ±2 degrees F, since it has been held that the provision of adjustable ranges, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Stevens*, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents are cited for further teachings of devices whose structure is similar to that instantly disclosed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy F. Simone whose telephone number is 571-272-1407. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays between 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 521-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/752,460

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy F. Simone Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 1761